arXiv:1603.09445v1 [math.CO] 31 Mar 2016

Pentavalent symmetric graphs of order twice a prime power

Yan-Quan Feng, Jin-Xin Zhou

Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, P.R. China

Yan-Tao Li

College of Arts and Science, Beijing Union University, Beijing 100191, P.R. China

Abstract

A connected symmetric graph of prime valency is *basic* if its automorphism group contains no nontrivial normal subgroup having more than two orbits. Let p be a prime and n a positive integer. In this paper, we investigate properties of connected pentavalent symmetric graphs of order $2p^n$, and it is shown that a connected pentavalent symmetric graph of order $2p^n$ is basic if and only if it is either a graph of order 6, 16, 250, or a graph of three infinite families of Cayley graphs on generalized dihedral groups – one family has order $2p$ with $p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$, one family has order $2p^2$ with $5 \mid (p \pm 1)$, and the other family has order $2p^4$. Furthermore, the automorphism groups of these basic graphs are computed. Similar works on cubic and tetravalent symmetric graphs of order $2p^n$ have been done.

It is shown that basic graphs of connected pentavalent symmetric graphs of order $2p^n$ are symmetric elementary abelian covers of the dipole Dip_5, and with covering techniques, uniqueness and automorphism groups of these basic graphs are determined. Moreover, symmetric $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$-covers of the dipole Dip_5 are classified. As a byproduct, connected pentavalent symmetric graphs of order $2p^2$ are classified.

Key Words: Symmetric graph, Cayley graph, regular covering, normal cover.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C25, 20B25.

1 Introduction

Let G be a permutation group on a set Ω and $\alpha \in \Omega$. Denote by G_α the stabilizer of α in G, that is, the subgroup of G fixing the point α. We say that G is *semiregular* on Ω if $G_\alpha = 1$ for every $\alpha \in \Omega$ and *regular* if G is transitive and semiregular. We will use the symbol $\mathbb{Z}_n$, both for the cyclic group of order n and for the ring of integers modulo n (and for the field of order n if n is a prime). Denote by $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ the multiplicative group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, by D_n the dihedral group of order $2n$, and by A_n and S_n the alternating group and the symmetric group of degree n, respectively.

E-mail addresses: yqfeng@bjtu.edu.cn, jxzhou@bjtu.edu.cn, yantao@ygi.edu.cn

All graphs in this article are finite, connected and simple, unless explicitly stated. For a graph Γ, let $V(\Gamma)$, $E(\Gamma)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ denote the vertex set, edge set and full automorphism group of Γ, respectively. An *s-arc* in a graph Γ is an ordered $(s+1)$-tuple $(v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_s)$ of $s+1$ vertices such that $\{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \in E(\Gamma)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $v_{i-1} \neq v_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$, and a 1-arc is also called an *arc*. For a subgroup G of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ of a graph Γ, the graph Γ is said to be *(G,s)-arc-transitive* or *(G,s)-regular* if G acts transitively or regularly on the set of s-arcs of Γ, and *(G,s)-transitive* if G acts transitively on the set of s-arcs but not on the set of $(s+1)$-arcs of Γ. A graph Γ is said to be *s-arc-transitive*, *s-regular* or *s-transitive* if it is $(\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma), s)$-arc-transitive, $(\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma), s)$-regular or $(\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma), s)$-transitive. In particular, 0-arc-transitive means *vertex-transitive*, and 1-arc-transitive means *arc-transitive* or *symmetric*.

Let Γ be a graph and $N \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$. The *quotient graph* Γ_N of Γ relative to N is defined as the graph with vertices the orbits of N on $V(\Gamma)$ and with two orbits adjacent if there is an edge in Γ between those two orbits. The theory of quotient graph is widely used to investigate symmetric graphs. Let Γ be a symmetric graph and $N \lhd \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$. If Γ and Γ_N have same valency, the graph Γ is said to be a *normal cover* of Γ_N and the graph Γ_N is said to be a *normal quotient* of Γ. In this case, N is semiregular on $V(\Gamma)$. There are two steps to study a symmetric graph Γ — the first step is to investigate normal quotient graph Γ_N for some normal subgroup N of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and the second step is to reconstruct the original graph Γ from the normal quotient Γ_N by using covering techniques. This is usually done by taking the normal subgroup N as large as possible and then the graph Γ is reduced to a 'basic graph'. The situation seems to be somewhat more promising with 2-arc-transitive graphs, and the strategy for the structural analysis of these graphs, based on taking normal quotients, was first laid out by Praeger (see [45, 46, 47]). The strategy works for locally primitive graphs, that is, vertex-transitive graphs with vertex stabilizers acting primitively on the corresponding neighbors sets (see [48, 49]).

As for the first step, let us define some notations. A graph Γ is called *basic* if Γ has no proper normal quotient. Then a locally primitive graph is basic if and only if it has no nontrivial normal subgroup having more than two orbits. A graph is *quasiprimitive* if every nontrivial normal subgroup of its automorphism group is transitive, and is *biquasiprimitive* if it has a nontrivial normal subgroup with two orbits but no such subgroup with more than two orbits. Therefore for locally primitive graphs, basic graphs are equivalent to quasiprimitive or biquasiprimitive graphs, which have received most of the attention thus far. In [27], Ivanov and Praeger completed the classification of quasiprimitive 2-arc-transitive graphs of affine type, and Baddeley gave a detailed description of quasiprimitive 2-arc-transitive graphs of twisted wreath type [2]. A similar description of 2-arc-transitive graphs associated with Suzuki groups and Ree groups was obtained by Fang and Praeger [12, 13]. Classifications of quasiprimitive 2-arc-transitive graphs of odd order and prime power order have been completed by Li [29, 30, 31], and based on this approach, finite vertex-primitive 2-arc-regular graphs have been classified [11] and finite 2-arc-transitive Cayley graphs of abelian groups have been determined [33]. Most recently, symmetric graphs of diameter 2 admitting an affine-type quasiprimitive group were investigated by Amarra et al. [1], and an infinite family of biquasiprimitive 2-arc-transitive cubic graphs were constructed by Devillers et al. [7].

Based on the stabilizers of pentavalent symmetric graphs given by Guo and Feng [23], in this paper we prove that normal quotient graphs of connected pentavalent symmetric graph of order twice a prime power can be K_6, FQ_4 (the folded hypercube of order 16), $\mathcal{CD}_p$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p\pm 1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), or $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$, where the graphs are defined in Eqs (1)-(6). Automorphism groups of these normal quotients are computed, and among them, basic ones are determined, which are K_6, FQ_4, $\mathcal{CGD}_{5^3}$, $\mathcal{CD}_p$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$) and $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$ ($p = 3$ or $p \geq 7$). Similar works on cubic symmetric graphs and tetravalent 2-arc-transitive graphs of order twice a prime power were done by Kwak and the first two authors [17, 56].

As for the second step, regular covering (for notation, see Section 4) is becoming an active topic in algebraic graph theory. In [10], regular covers of complete graphs whose group of covering transformations is either cyclic or isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$, p a prime, and whose fibre-preserving subgroup of automorphisms acts 2-arc-transitively, were classified. This result has been extended to the case where the group of covering transformations is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^3$, p a prime [8]. Some general methods of elementary abelian coverings were developed in [9, 37, 38]. By using the method developed in [38], Malnič and Potočnik [40] classified all vertex-transitive elementary abelian covers of the Petersen graph. Symmetric cyclic or elementary abelian covers of the complete graph K_4, the complete bipartite graph $K_{3,3}$, the cube Q_3 and the Petersen graph O_3, were classified in [14, 15, 16, 18, 19]. Symmetric elementary abelian covers of the unique connected cubic symmetric graph of order 14, 16 or 18 were classified in [42, 43, 44]. By using the above covers, together with group theory techniques, many classifications of symmetric graphs have been obtained – for example, symmetric cubic graphs of order rp or tp^2 were classified for each $2 \leq r \leq 16$ and $2 \leq t \leq 10$. Classification of symmetric graphs with a given order has been widely investigated, and for more results, see [3, 4, 32, 50, 51, 53]. In the above papers, graphs and their covers are simple, that is, no loops and multiple edges. Regular covers of non-simple graphs were also considered in literature and in this case, automorphism groups of non-simple graphs are usually considered as permutation groups on the sets of arcs of these graphs. For example, to classify tetravalent non-Cayley graph of order four times a prime, Zhou [55] considered vertex-transitive covers of non-simple graphs of order 4.

To determine the uniqueness of normal quotient graphs of connected pentavalent symmetric graph of order twice a prime power for some given orders and to compute their automorphism groups, covering techniques are employed. In this paper we first prove that these normal quotients are symmetric elementary abelian covers of the dipole Dip_5 and then determine all symmetric elementary abelian covers of Dip_5, which consist of four infinite families of Cayley graphs on generalized dihedral groups, that is, the graphs $\mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$) and $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$. These covers are not isomorphic to each other and their full automorphism groups are computed. As an application, pentavalent symmetric graphs of order twice a prime square are classified.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we describe some preliminary results which will be used later. First we describe stabilizers of connected pentavalent symmetric graphs.

Proposition 2.1 [23, Theorem 1.1] *Let X be a connected pentavalent (G,s)-transitive graph for some $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ and $s \geq 1$. Let $v \in V(X)$. Then $s \leq 5$ and one of the following holds:*

(1) *For $s = 1$, $G_v \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$, D_5 or D_{10};*
(2) *For $s = 2$, $G_v \cong F_{20}$, $F_{20} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, A_5 or S_5, where F_{20} is the Frobenius group of order 20;*
(3) *For $s = 3$, $G_v \cong F_{20} \times \mathbb{Z}_4$, $\mathrm{A}_4 \times \mathrm{A}_5$, $\mathrm{S}_4 \times \mathrm{S}_5$ or $(\mathrm{A}_4 \times \mathrm{A}_5) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ with $\mathrm{A}_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathrm{S}_4$ and $\mathrm{A}_5 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathrm{S}_5$;*
(4) *For $s = 4$, $G_v \cong \mathrm{ASL}(2,4)$, $\mathrm{AGL}(2,4)$, $\mathrm{A\Sigma L}(2,4)$ or $\mathrm{A\Gamma L}(2,4)$;*
(5) *For $s = 5$, $G_v \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^6 \rtimes \Gamma\mathrm{L}(2,4)$.*

For a subgroup H of a group G, denote by $C_G(H)$ the centralizer of H in G and by $N_G(H)$ the normalizer of H in G. Then $C_G(H)$ is normal in $N_G(H)$.

Proposition 2.2 [25, Theorem 6.11] *The quotient group $N_G(H)/C_G(H)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(H)$ of H.*

Let G be a finite group and let $\pi(G) = \{p \mid p \text{ is a prime divisor of } |G|\}$. Herzog [24] and Shi [52] (also see [26]) classified nonabelian finite simple groups G for $|\pi(G)| = 3$ and $|\pi(G)| = 4$ respectively, from which one may deduce the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3 *Let $p \geq 7$ be a prime and let G be a nonabelian simple group.*

(1) *If $|\pi(G)| = 3$ then $G \cong A_5, A_6, \mathrm{PSL}(2,7), \mathrm{PSL}(2,8), \mathrm{PSL}(2,17), \mathrm{PSL}(3,3), \mathrm{PSU}(3,3)$ or $\mathrm{PSU}(4,2)$ with order $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$, $2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$, $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$, $2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$, $2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 17$, $2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 13$, $2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$ or $2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$, respectively.*

(2) *Let $\pi(G) = \{2,3,5,p\}$ with $p \geq 7$. If $p^2 \mid |G|$, $2^{11} \nmid |G|$ and $3^3 \nmid |G|$, then $G \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2,49)$ or $\mathrm{PSp}(4,7)$ with order $2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2$ or $2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^4$, respectively.*

Let G be a finite group and S a subset of G with $1 \notin S$ and $S^{-1} = S$. The *Cayley graph* $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ on G with respect to S is defined to have vertex set $V(\Gamma) = G$ and edge set $E(\Gamma) = \{\{g, sg\} \mid g \in G, s \in S\}$. It is well-known that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ contains the right regular representation $R(G)$ of G, the acting group of G by right multiplication, and Γ is connected if and only if $G = \langle S \rangle$, that is, S generates G. A Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ is said to be *normal* if the right regular representation $R(G)$ of G is normal in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Cay}(G,S))$. By Godsil [21] or Xu [54], we have the following result.

Proposition 2.4 *Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ be a connected Cayley graph on a finite group G with respect to S, and let $A = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Then $N_A(R(G)) = R(G) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(G, S)$, where $N_A(R(G))$ is the normalizer of $R(G)$ in A. In particular, Γ is normal if and only if $A_1 = \mathrm{Aut}(G, S)$.*

Let p be a prime and let $D_p = \langle a, b \mid a^p = b^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1}\rangle$ be the dihedral group of order $2p$. For $p = 5$, let $\ell = 1$ and for $5 \mid (p-1)$, let ℓ be an element of order 5 in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Define

$$\mathcal{CD}_p = \mathrm{Cay}(D_p, \{b, ab, a^{\ell+1}b, a^{\ell^2+\ell+1}b, a^{\ell^3+\ell^2+\ell+1}b\}). \tag{1}$$

The graph $\mathcal{CD}_p$ is symmetric because the map $\alpha : a \mapsto a^\ell, b \mapsto ab$ induces an automorphism of D_p permuting the elements in $\{b, ab, a^{\ell+1}b, a^{\ell^2+\ell+1}b, a^{\ell^3+\ell^2+\ell+1}b\}$ cyclicly. By [20, Theorem 3.1], $\mathcal{CD}_p$ is independent of the choice of ℓ, and by [4] and [20, Theorem 3.1], we have the following.

Proposition 2.5 *Let Γ be a connected pentavalent edge-transitive graph of order $2p$ for a prime p. Then Γ is symmetric and one of the following holds:*

(1) *$X \cong K_6$, the complete graph of order 6 and $\mathrm{Aut}(K_6) \cong S_6$;*

(2) *$X \cong \mathcal{CD}_5$ ($\cong K_{5,5}$), the complete bipartite graph of order 10 and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CD}_5) \cong (S_5 \times S_5) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$;*

(3) *$X \cong \mathcal{CD}_p$ with $5 \mid (p-1)$. For $p = 11$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CD}_p) \cong \mathrm{PGL}(2, 11)$ and for $p \geq 31$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CD}_p) \cong D_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$.*

Let p be a prime and let $D_{p^2} = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^2} = b^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1}\rangle$ be the dihedral group of order $2p^2$. Let $5 \mid (p-1)$ and ℓ an element of order 5 in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^*$. Define

$$\mathcal{CD}_{p^2} = \mathrm{Cay}(D_{p^2}, \{b, ab, a^{\ell+1}b, a^{\ell^2+\ell+1}b, a^{\ell^3+\ell^2+\ell+1}b\}). \tag{2}$$

Similar to the graph $\mathcal{CD}_p$, the graph $\mathcal{CD}_{p^2}$ is symmetric because the map $a \mapsto a^\ell, b \mapsto ab$ induces an automorphism of D_{p^2}. By [20, Theorem 3.1], $\mathcal{CD}_{p^2}$ is independent of the choice of ℓ, and by [28, Proposition 2.2 and Theorem A], we have the following.

Proposition 2.6 *Let p be a prime and Γ be a connected pentavalent Cayley graph on D_{p^2}. If Γ is $N_{\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)}(R(D_{p^2}))$-arc-transitive, then $5 \mid (p-1)$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{CD}_{p^2}$ with $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CD}_{p^2}) \cong R(D_{p^2}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$.*

Let Γ be a connected symmetric graph of prime valency and let $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ be arc-transitive. Let N be a normal subgroup of G. In view of [34, Theorem 9], we have:

Proposition 2.7 *If N has more than two orbits then the quotient graph Γ_N has the same valency as Γ and N is the kernel of G acting on the set of orbits of N. Furthermore, N is semiregular on $V(\Gamma)$ and Γ_N is G/N-arc-transitive.*

For an abelian group H, the generalized dihedral group of H, denoted by GD_H, is the semidirect product $H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ with the involution of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ inverting every element in H. To end this section, we consider some special bipartite graphs.

Lemma 2.8 *Let Γ be a bipartite graph and H an abelian semiregular automorphism group of Γ with the two bipartite sets of Γ as its orbits. Then Γ is a Cayley graph on GD_H.*

Proof. Let B_1 and B_2 be the bipartite sets of Γ. Then H acts regularly on each of B_1 and B_2, and we may assume that $B_1 = \{h \mid h \in H\}$ and $B_2 = \{h' \mid h \in H\}$. The actions of H on B_1 and B_2 are just by right multiplication, that is, $h^g = hg$ and $(h')^g = (hg)'$ for any $h, g \in H$. Let the neighbors of 1 in Γ be h_1', h_2', $\cdots$, h_n', where $h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_n \in H$. Since H is abelian, for any $h \in H$, the neighbors of h are $(hh_1)'$, $(hh_2)'$, $\cdots$, $(hh_n)'$, and furthermore, the neighbors of h' are hh_1^{-1}, hh_2^{-1}, $\cdots$, hh_n^{-1}. It is easy to check that the map α, defined by $h \mapsto (h^{-1})'$, $h' \mapsto h^{-1}$, $h \in H$, is an automorphism of Γ of order 2. Now for any $g, h \in H$, we have $h^{g\alpha} = (g^{-1}h^{-1})' = h^{\alpha g^{-1}}$ and $(h')^{g\alpha} = g^{-1}h^{-1} = (h')^{\alpha g^{-1}}$. It follows that $g\alpha = \alpha g^{-1}$, that is, $\alpha^{-1}g\alpha = g^{-1}$. Thus, $\langle H, \alpha\rangle = GD_H$ and Γ is a Cayley graph on GD_H. □

3 Cayley graphs on $\mathrm{GD}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^n}$ with $n = 2, 3, 4$

In this section, we shall construct four families of Cayley graphs on generalized dihedral groups, and investigate their automorphisms, which will be used later. For a prime p, write $\mathrm{GD}_{p^2} = \mathrm{GD}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^2}$, $\mathrm{GD}_{p^3} = \mathrm{GD}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^3}$ and $\mathrm{GD}_{p^4} = \mathrm{GD}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^4}$ for short.

Let $\mathrm{GD}_{p^2} = \langle a, d, h \mid a^p = d^p = h^2 = [a, d] = 1, h^{-1}ah = a^{-1}, h^{-1}dh = d^{-1}\rangle$. For $p = 5$, let $\ell = 1$, and for $5 \mid (p-1)$, let ℓ be an element of order 5 in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Define

$$\mathcal{CGD}_{p^2}^1 = \mathrm{Cay}(\mathrm{GD}_{p^2}, \{h, ah, a^{\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}}d^{\ell^{-1}}h, a^{\ell}d^{(\ell+1)^{-1}}h, dh\}). \tag{3}$$

For $5 \mid (p \pm 1)$, let λ be an element in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ such that $\lambda^2 = 5$. Define

$$\mathcal{CGD}_{p^2}^2 = \mathrm{Cay}(\mathrm{GD}_{p^2}, \{h, ah, a^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}dh, ad^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}h, dh\}). \tag{4}$$

Let $\mathrm{GD}_{p^3} = \langle a, b, d, h \mid a^p = b^p = d^p = h^2 = [a, b] = [a, d] = [b, d] = 1, h^{-1}ah = a^{-1}, h^{-1}bh = b^{-1}, h^{-1}dh = d^{-1}\rangle$. For $p = 5$, let $\ell = 1$, and for $5 \mid (p-1)$, let ℓ be an element of order 5 in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Define

$$\mathcal{CGD}_{p^3} = \mathrm{Cay}(\mathrm{GD}_{p^3}, \{h, ah, bh, a^{-\ell^2}b^{-\ell}d^{-\ell^{-1}}h, dh\}). \tag{5}$$

Let $\mathrm{GD}_{p^4} = \langle a, b, c, d, h \mid a^p = b^p = c^p = d^p = h^2 = [a, b] = [a, c] = [a, d] = [b, c] = [b, d] = [c, d] = 1, h^{-1}ah = a^{-1}, h^{-1}bh = b^{-1}, h^{-1}ch = c^{-1}, h^{-1}dh = d^{-1}\rangle$. Define

$$\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4} = \mathrm{Cay}(\mathrm{GD}_{p^4}, \{h, ah, bh, ch, dh\}). \tag{6}$$

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ be one of the graphs defined in Eqs (3)-(6). Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of $R(G)$ and let $A = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Then Γ is $N_A(P)$-arc-transitive.*

(1) *Let $\Gamma = \mathcal{CGD}_{p^2}^1$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$). If $p = 5$ then $N_A(R(GD_{5^2})) \cong R(GD_{5^2}) \rtimes F_{20}$ and if $5 \mid (p-1)$ then $N_A(R(GD_{p^2})) \cong R(GD_{p^2}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$. Furthermore, $|N_A(P)| \neq 20p^2$.*

(2) *Let* $\Gamma = \mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ $(5 \mid (p \pm 1))$. *Then* $N_A(R(GD_{p^2})) \cong R(GD_{p^2}) \rtimes D_5$ *and* $|N_A(P)|$ *has a divisor* $20p^2$.

(3) *Let* $\Gamma = \mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}$ $(p = 5$ *or* $5 \mid (p-1))$. *If* $p = 5$ *then* $N_A(R(GD_{5^3})) \cong R(GD_{5^3}) \rtimes S_5$ *and if* $5 \mid (p-1)$ *then* $R(GD_{p^3}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5 \leq N_A(R(GD_{p^3}))$.

(4) *Let* $\Gamma = \mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$. *Then* $N_A(R(GD_{p^4})) \cong R(GD_{p^4}) \rtimes S_5$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, $N_A(R(G)) = R(G) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(G,S)$. For each graph in Eqs (3)-(6), we have $\langle S \rangle = G$ and $|R(G) : P| = 2$. Thus, Γ is connected and $\mathrm{Aut}(G,S)$ acts faithfully on S, implying $\mathrm{Aut}(G,S) \leq S_5$. Furthermore, $P \lhd R(G)$ and hence $P \lhd N_A(R(G))$, forcing that $N_A(R(G)) \leq N_A(P)$. If (1)-(4) are true, then Γ is $N_A(P)$-arc-transitive because it is $N_A(R(G))$-arc-transitive. To finish the proof, it suffices to show (1)-(4).

Let $\Gamma = \mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2} = \mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$. Then $p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$. Furthermore, $G = \mathrm{GD}_{p^2} = \langle a, d, h \mid a^p = d^p = h^2 = [a,d] = 1, h^{-1}ah = a^{-1}, h^{-1}dh = d^{-1} \rangle$ and $S = \{h, ah, a^{\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}}d^{\ell^{-1}}h, a^{\ell}d^{(\ell+1)^{-1}}h, dh\}$, where $\ell = 1$ for $p = 5$ and ℓ is an element of order 5 in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ for $5 \mid (p-1)$. In particular, $\ell^5 = 1$, $\ell^4 + \ell^3 + \ell^2 + \ell + 1 = 0$ and $(\ell+1)^{-1} = -\ell^3 - \ell$ in the field $\mathbb{Z}_p$. It is easy to check that $\alpha : h \mapsto ah, a \mapsto a^{\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}-1}d^{\ell^{-1}}, d \mapsto a^{-1}$ induces an automorphism of order 5 of GD_{p^2} permuting the elements in S cyclicly.

For $p = 5$, the map $\beta : a \mapsto ad^3$, $d \mapsto a^3 d$ and $h \mapsto h$, induces an automorphism of order 4 of GD_{p^2} permuting the elements in $\{ah, ad^3h, dh, a^3dh\}$ cyclicly. Take $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}(G,S)$ such that $h^\gamma = h$ and $(ah)^\gamma = ah$. Then $\{a^3d, ad^3, d\}^\gamma = \{a^3d, ad^3, d\}$. If $d^\gamma = a^3d$ or ad^3 then $(a^3d)^d = ad$ or a^4d^3, which is impossible because $ad, a^4d^3 \notin \{a^3d, ad^3, d\}$. Thus, $d^\gamma = d$ and hence $\gamma = 1$, implying $|\mathrm{Aut}(G,S)| = 20$. By Lemma 2.1, $N_A(R(GD_{5^2})) \cong R(GD_{5^2}) \rtimes F_{20}$. Since $N_A(R(G)) \leq N_A(P)$, we have $|N_A(P)| \neq 20p^2$.

For $5 \mid (p-1)$, take $\delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(G,S)$ such that $h^\delta = h$. Then δ fixes $\{a, a^{\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}}d^{\ell^{-1}}, a^{\ell}d^{(\ell+1)^{-1}}, d\}$ setwise, and hence fixes $a \cdot a^{\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}}d^{\ell^{-1}} \cdot a^{\ell}d^{(\ell+1)^{-1}} \cdot d$, that is, $a^{\ell^3+2\ell+2}d^{2\ell^4+\ell^2+2}$. Thus, $(a^r d^{r\ell^4})^\delta = a^r d^{r\ell^4}$, where $r = \ell^3+2\ell+2$. Suppose $r = 0$. Then $2\ell^3+2\ell^2+1 = \ell^2 r = 0$ and $3\ell^3 + 4\ell^2 - 2\ell = 2(2\ell^3 + 2\ell^2 + 1) - r = 0$, that is, $3\ell^2 + 4\ell - 2 = 0$. On the other hand, $2\ell^4 + \ell^3 + 2\ell^2 = 2(\ell^4 + \ell^3 + \ell^2 + \ell + 1) - r = 0$, that is, $2\ell^2 + \ell + 2 = 0$. It follows that $5\ell(\ell+1) = (3\ell^2 + 4\ell - 2) + (2\ell^2 + \ell + 2) = 0$, and hence $p = 5$, a contradiction. This implies that $(ad^{\ell^4})^\delta = ad^{\ell^4}$. One may compute the following equations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{(1-\ell(1+\ell)^{-1})} &= (ad^{\ell^4}) \cdot (a^{\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}}d^{\ell^{-1}})^{-1}, & a^{-1} &= (ad^{\ell^4}) \cdot (a^{\ell}d^{(\ell+1)^{-1}})^{-\ell^4(\ell+1)}, \\
a^{-\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}} &= (ad^{\ell^4})(a^{1+\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}}d^{\ell^{-1}})^{-1}, & a^{(1-\ell^4)} &= (ad^{\ell^4})(ad)^{-\ell^4}, \\
a^{(\ell^3+\ell^2)} &= (ad^{\ell^4})(a^{1+\ell}d^{(\ell+1)^{-1}})^{-\ell^4(\ell+1)}, & a &= (ad^{\ell^4})d^{-\ell^4}.
\end{aligned}
\qquad (*)
$$

Recall that δ fixes $S_1 = \{a, a^{\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}}d^{\ell^{-1}}, a^{\ell}d^{(\ell+1)^{-1}}, d\}$ setwise. If δ fixes a then it also fixes d because $d = (ad^{\ell^4})^{\ell}a^{-\ell}$, which implies that $\delta = 1$. By Eq$(*)$, if δ fixes any element in S_1 then δ fixes a and therefore $\delta = 1$.

Suppose that $a^\delta \neq a$. Then either $\langle \delta \rangle$ has two orbits of length 2, or it is transitive on S_1. For the former, δ fixes one element in $\{a \cdot a^{\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}}d^{\ell^{-1}}, a \cdot a^{\ell}d^{(\ell+1)^{-1}}, a \cdot d\}$, that is, $\{a^{1+\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}}d^{\ell^{-1}}, a^{1+\ell}d^{(\ell+1)^{-1}}, ad\}$. By Eq$(*)$, δ fixes a, a contradiction. For the latter, δ^2 has two orbits of length 2 on S_1, and hence δ^2 fixes one element in $\{a \cdot a^{\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}}d^{\ell^{-1}}, a \cdot$

$a^{\ell}d^{(\ell+1)^{-1}}, a\cdot d\} = \{a^{1+\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}}d^{\ell^{-1}}, a^{1+\ell}d^{(\ell+1)^{-1}}, ad\}$. Again by Eq(∗), δ^2 fixes a and $\delta^2 = 1$, which is impossible because δ is transitive on S_1.

Since $\delta = 1$, we have that $|\mathrm{Aut}(G,S)| = 5$, $N_A(R(GD_{p^2})) \cong R(GD_{p^2}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$ and $|N_A(R(GD_{p^2}))| = 10p^2$. Suppose $|N_A(P)| = 20p^2$. Since $N_A(R(GD_{p^2})) \leq N_A(P)$, we have $|N_A(P) : N_A(R(GD_{p^2}))| = 2$, implying $N_A(R(GD_{p^2})) \lhd N_A(P)$. Note that $R(GD_{p^2})$ is a hall $\{2,p\}$-subgroup of $N_A(R(GD_{p^2}))$. Then $R(GD_{p^2})$ is characteristic in $N_A(R(GD_{p^2}))$ and hence $R(GD_{p^2}) \lhd N_A(P)$. It follows that $N_A(P) \leq N_A(R(GD_{p^2}))$, which is impossible. Thus, $|N_A(P)| \neq 20p^2$, completing the proof of (1).

Let $\Gamma = \mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2} = \mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$. Then $5 \mid (p \pm 1)$, $G = GD_{p^2} = \langle a,d,h \mid a^p = d^p = h^2 = [a,d] = 1, h^{-1}ah = a^{-1}, h^{-1}dh = d^{-1}\rangle$ and $S = \{h, ah, a^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}dh, ad^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}h, dh\}$, where $\lambda^2 = 5$ in the field $\mathbb{Z}_p$. The map $\alpha : h \mapsto ah, a \mapsto a^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)-1}d, d \mapsto a^{-1}$ induces an automorphism of GD_{p^2} permuting the elements in S cyclicly. Take $\beta \in \mathrm{Aut}(G,S)$ such that $h^\beta = h$. Then β fixes $\{a, a^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}d, ad^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}, d\}$ setwise and hence $a \cdot a^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}d \cdot ad^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)} \cdot d$, that is, $(ad)^{2+2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}$. If $2+2^{-1}(1+\lambda) = 0$ then $\lambda = -5$, which is impossible because $\lambda^2 = 5$. Thus, β fixes ad.

Note that β fixes $\{a, a^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}d, ad^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}, d\}$ setwise. Clearly, if β fixes a then $\beta = 1$. Assume that $a^\beta \neq a$. Then $a^\beta = a^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}d, ad^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}$ or d. If $a^\beta = a^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}d$ then $ad = (ad)^\beta = a^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}dd^\beta$, that is, $d^\beta = a^{1-2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}$. This is impossible because $d^\beta \notin \{a, a^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}d, ad^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}, d\}$. Thus, $a^\beta \neq a^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}d$, and similarly, $a^\beta \neq ad^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}$ because otherwise $d^\beta = d^{1-2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}$. It follows that $a^\beta = d$ and $d^\beta = (a^{-1}ad)^\beta = d^{-1}ad = a$, that is, β is an automorphism of order 2 of GD_{p^2} induced by $a \mapsto d$, $d \mapsto a$ and $h \mapsto h$. This implies that the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(G,S)$ fixing h is $\langle\beta\rangle$. Thus, $|\mathrm{Aut}(G,S)| = 10$ and by Proposition 2.1, $N_A(R(GD_{p^2})) \cong R(GD_{p^2}) \rtimes D_5$. Since $N_A(R(G)) \leq N_A(P)$, we have that $|N_A(P)|$ has a divisor $20p^2$. This completes the proof of (2).

Let $\Gamma = \mathcal{CGD}_{p^3} = \mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$. Then $p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$. Furthermore, $G = \mathrm{GD}_{p^3} = \langle a,b,d,h \mid a^p = b^p = d^p = h^2 = [a,b] = [a,d] = [b,d] = 1, h^{-1}ah = a^{-1}, h^{-1}bh = b^{-1}, h^{-1}dh = d^{-1}\rangle$ and $S = \{h, ah, bh, a^{-\ell^2}b^{-\ell}d^{-\ell^{-1}}h, dh\}$, where $\ell = 1$ for $p = 5$ and ℓ is an element of order 5 in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ for $5 \mid (p-1)$. The map $\alpha : h \mapsto ah, a \mapsto ba^{-1}, b \mapsto a^{-\ell^2-1}b^{-\ell}d^{-\ell^{-1}}, d \mapsto a^{-1}$ induces an automorphism of GD_{p^3} permuting the elements in S cyclicly. Thus, $R(GD_{p^3}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5 \leq N_A(R(GD_{p^3}))$. For $p = 5$, the map $\beta : a \mapsto b$, $b \mapsto d$, $d \mapsto a^{-1}b^{-1}d^{-1}$ and $h \mapsto h$, induces an automorphism of order 4 of GD_{5^3} permuting the elements in $\{ah, bh, dh, a^{-1}b^{-1}d^{-1}h\}$ cyclicly. Furthermore, any permutation on $\{a,b,d\}$ with $h \mapsto h$ induces an automorphism of GD_{5^3}. Thus, $\mathrm{Aut}(G,S) \cong S_5$ and $N_A(R(GD_{5^3})) \cong R(GD_{5^3}) \rtimes S_5$. This completes the proof of (3).

Let $\Gamma = \mathcal{CGD}_{p^4} = \mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$. Then $S = \{h, ah, bh, ch, dh\}$ and $G = \mathrm{GD}_{p^4} = \langle a,b,c,d,h \mid a^p = b^p = c^p = d^p = h^2 = [a,b] = [a,c] = [a,d] = [b,c] = [b,d] = [c,d] = 1, h^{-1}ah = a^{-1}, h^{-1}bh = b^{-1}, h^{-1}ch = c^{-1}, h^{-1}dh = d^{-1}\rangle$. The map $\alpha : h \mapsto ah, a \mapsto ba^{-1}, b \mapsto ca^{-1}, c \mapsto da^{-1}, d \mapsto a^{-1}$ induces an automorphism of GD_{p^3} permuting the elements in S cyclicly. Furthermore, any permutation on $\{a,b,c,d\}$ with $h \mapsto h$ induces an automorphism of GD_{p^4}. Thus, $\mathrm{Aut}(G,S) \cong S_5$ and $N_A(R(GD_{p^4})) \cong R(GD_{p^4}) \rtimes S_5$. This completes the proof of (4). □

4 Symmetric elementary abelian covers of Dip_5

The main purpose of this paper is to determine basic graphs of pentavalent symmetric graphs of order twice a prime power and to compute their automorphism groups. To do that, we need the so called regular covering and this was treated in an extensive way [36, 37, 39, 41]. In this section, we classify symmetric elementary abelian covers of the dipole Dip_5 and compute their automorphism groups by combining Theorem 3.1.

An epimorphism $\mathcal{P} : \widetilde{\Gamma} \mapsto \Gamma$ of graphs is called a *regular covering projection* or *regular N-covering projection* if $\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ has a semiregular subgroup N whose orbits on $V(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ coincide with the *vertex fibres* $\mathcal{P}^{-1}(v), v \in V(\Gamma)$, and the arc and edge orbits of N coincide with the *arc fibres* $\mathcal{P}^{-1}((u,v)), u \sim v$, and *edge fibres* $\mathcal{P}^{-1}(\{u,v\}), u \sim v$, respectively. In particular, we call the graph $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ a *regular cover* or an *N-cover* of the graph Γ, and N the *covering transformation group*. In particular, if N is a cyclic or an elementary abelian group, then we speak of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ as a *cyclic cover* or an *elementary abelian cover* of Γ. Let $\mathcal{P} : \widetilde{\Gamma} \mapsto \Gamma$ be a regular covering projection. An automorphism of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is said to be *fibre-preserving* if it maps a vertex fibre to a vertex fibre, and all such fibre-preserving automorphisms form a group, say F, called the *fibre preserving group*. When $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is connected, it is easy to show that N is the kernel of $\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ acting on the fibres and $F = N_{\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\Gamma})}(N)$. If $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is F-arc-transitive, we say that $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is a *symmetric cover* of Γ.

Two regular covering projections $\mathcal{P} : \widetilde{\Gamma} \mapsto \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{P}' : \widetilde{\Gamma}' \mapsto \Gamma$ of a graph Γ are isomorphic if there exist an automorphism $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and an isomorphism $\widetilde{\alpha} : \widetilde{\Gamma} \mapsto \widetilde{\Gamma}'$ such that $\alpha\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}'\widetilde{\alpha}$. If α is identity, then $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}'$ are *equivalent*, and if $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \widetilde{\Gamma}'$ and $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}'$ then we call $\widetilde{\alpha}$ a *lift* of α and α a *projection* of $\widetilde{\alpha}$ along $\mathcal{P}$.

Let Γ be a graph and let N be a finite group. Assign to each arc (u,v) of Γ a voltage $\zeta(u,v) \in N$ such that $\zeta(u,v) = \zeta(u,v)^{-1}$, where $\zeta : \Gamma \mapsto N$ is called a *voltage assignment* of Γ. Let $Cov(\Gamma;\zeta)$ be the *derived graph* from ζ, which has vertex set $V(\Gamma) \times N$ and adjacency relation defined by $(u,a) \sim (v, a\zeta(u,v))$, where $a \in N$ and $u \sim v$ in Γ. The projection onto the first coordinate $\mathcal{P} : Cov(\Gamma;\zeta) \mapsto \Gamma$ is a regular N-covering projection, where the group N acts semiregularly via left multiplication on the second coordinate of (u,a), $u \in V(\Gamma)$, $a \in N$. Give a spanning tree T of Γ, a voltage assignment ζ is said to be *T-reduced* if the voltages on the tree arcs are identity. Gross and Tucker [22] showed that every regular covering of a graph Γ can be derived from a T-reduced voltage assignment ζ with respect to an arbitrary fixed spanning tree of Γ. It is clear that if ζ is reduced, then the derived graph $\Gamma \times_\zeta N$ is connected if and only if the voltages on the cotree arcs generate the voltage group N.

A voltage assignment on arcs can be extended to a voltage assignment on walks in a natural way. Given $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$, we define a function $\overline{\alpha}$ from the set of voltages on fundamental closed walks based at a fixed vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$ to the voltage group N by $(\zeta(C))^{\overline{\alpha}} = \zeta(C^\alpha)$, where C ranges over all fundamental closed walks at v, and $\zeta(C)$ and $\zeta(C^\alpha)$ are the voltages of C and C^α, respectively. Clearly, if N is abelian, then $\overline{\alpha}$ does not depend on the choice of the base vertex, and the fundamental closed walks at v can be substituted by the fundamental cycles generated by the cotree arcs of Γ. The next proposition is a special case of Theorem 4.2 in [36].

Proposition 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{P} : \widetilde{\Gamma} = Cov(\Gamma;\zeta) \mapsto \Gamma$ be a regular N-covering projection. Then an automorphism α of Γ lifts if and only if $\overline{\alpha}$ extends to an automorphism of N.*

By [38, Corollary 3.3(a)], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2 *Let $\mathcal{P}_1 : Cov(\Gamma;\zeta_1) \mapsto \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{P}_2 : Cov(\Gamma;\zeta_2) \mapsto \Gamma$ be two regular N-covering projections of a graph Γ. Then $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$ are isomorphic if and only if there is an automorphism $\delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and an automorphism $\eta \in \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ such that $(\zeta_1(W))^\eta = \zeta_2(W^\delta)$ for all fundamental closed walks W at some base vertex of Γ.*

By Theorem 3.1 (5), $\mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$) and $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$ are symmetric elementary abelian covers of Dip_5. Note that all these graphs have girth 6.

Theorem 4.3 *Let p be a prime and $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ an elementary abelian group with $n \geq 2$. Let Γ be a connected symmetric $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$-cover of the dipole Dip_5. Then $2 \leq n \leq 4$ and*

(1) *For $n = 2$, $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$) or $\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$), which are unique for a given order; $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}^1_{5^2}) = (R(GD_{5^2}) \rtimes F_{20})\mathbb{Z}_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \cdot ((F_{20} \times F_{20}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$ with $N_A(R(GD_{5^2})) = R(GD_{5^2}) \rtimes F_{20}$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}) = R(GD_{p^2}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$ for $5 \mid (p-1)$, and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}) = R(GD_{p^2}) \rtimes D_5$;*

(2) *For $n = 3$, $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), which are unique for a given order; $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}_{5^3}) = R(GD_{5^3}) \rtimes S_5$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}) = R(GD_{p^3}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$ for $5 \mid (p-1)$;*

(3) *For $n = 4$, $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}) = R(GD_{p^4}) \rtimes S_5$.*

Proof. Let $A = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Let $N = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and $G = N_A(N)$. Then N has two orbits and is semiregular on $V(\Gamma)$. By hypothesis, Γ is G-arc-transitive. Clearly, Γ_N is Dip_5 and its vertices are denoted by u and v that are connected by five multiple edges (see Fig. 1).



Figure 1: Dip_5 with a voltage assignment ζ.

Let $\mathcal{P} : \Gamma = \mathrm{Dip}_5 \times_\zeta \mathbb{Z}_p^n \mapsto \mathrm{Dip}_5$ be the corresponding covering projection, where ζ is its voltage assignment. Since $N \lhd G$, the projection L of G is an arc-transitive subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Dip}_5)$. Thus, L lifts to G and $G/N \cong L$. Furthermore, L is the largest subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Dip}_5)$ which can be lifted along $\mathcal{P}$. Label the five arcs of Dip_5 starting from u by a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 and a_5, respectively. Let T be a spanning tree of Dip_5 corresponding to the arc

a_1. We may assume that $\mathcal{P}$ is T-reduced. Write $\zeta(a_1) = 1$, $\zeta(a_2) = a$, $\zeta(a_3) = b$, $\zeta(a_4) = c$ and $\zeta(a_5) = d$. Since Γ is connected, $N = \mathbb{Z}_p^n = \langle a, b, c, d\rangle$, forcing that $n \leq 4$.

Let $\alpha = (a_1\ a_2\ a_3\ a_4\ a_5)(a_1^{-1}\ a_2^{-1}\ a_3^{-1}\ a_4^{-1}\ a_5^{-1})$, $\beta = (a_1\ a_2\ a_4\ a_3)(a_1^{-1}\ a_2^{-1}\ a_4^{-1}\ a_3^{-1})$, $\gamma = (a_1\ a_1^{-1})(a_2\ a_2^{-1})(a_3\ a_3^{-1})(a_4\ a_4^{-1})(a_5\ a_5^{-1})$, $\delta = (a_1\ a_2\ a_3)(a_1^{-1}\ a_2^{-1}\ a_3^{-1})$, and $\varepsilon = (a_1\ a_2\ a_5\ a_4)(a_1^{-1}\ a_2^{-1}\ a_5^{-1}\ a_4^{-1})$. Then $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Dip}_5)$. There are four fundamental cycles: $W_1 = a_2a_1^{-1}$, $W_2 = a_3a_1^{-1}$, $W_3 = a_4a_1^{-1}$ and $W_4 = a_5a_1^{-1}$. We list all these cycles and their voltages in Table 1, in which $\zeta(W_i)$ denotes the voltage on W_i.

W_i	$\zeta(W_i)$	W_i^α	$\zeta(W_i^\alpha)$	W_i^β	$\zeta(W_i^\beta)$	$W_i^{\beta^2}$	$\zeta(W_i^{\beta^2})$
$W_1 = a_2a_1^{-1}$	a	$a_3a_2^{-1}$	ba^{-1}	$a_4a_2^{-1}$	ca^{-1}	$a_3a_4^{-1}$	bc^{-1}
$W_2 = a_3a_1^{-1}$	b	$a_4a_2^{-1}$	ca^{-1}	$a_1a_2^{-1}$	a^{-1}	$a_2a_4^{-1}$	ac^{-1}
$W_3 = a_4a_1^{-1}$	c	$a_5a_2^{-1}$	da^{-1}	$a_3a_2^{-1}$	ba^{-1}	$a_1a_4^{-1}$	c^{-1}
$W_4 = a_5a_1^{-1}$	d	$a_1a_2^{-1}$	a^{-1}	$a_5a_2^{-1}$	da^{-1}	$a_5a_4^{-1}$	dc^{-1}
W_i	$\zeta(W_i)$	W_i^γ	$\zeta(W_i^\gamma)$	W_i^δ	$\zeta(W_i^\delta)$	W_i^ε	$\zeta(W_i^\varepsilon)$
$W_1 = a_2a_1^{-1}$	a	$a_2^{-1}a_1$	a^{-1}	$a_3a_2^{-1}$	ba^{-1}	$a_5a_2^{-1}$	da^{-1}
$W_2 = a_3a_1^{-1}$	b	$a_3^{-1}a_1$	b^{-1}	$a_1a_2^{-1}$	a^{-1}	$a_3a_2^{-1}$	ba^{-1}
$W_3 = a_4a_1^{-1}$	c	$a_4^{-1}a_1$	c^{-1}	$a_4a_2^{-1}$	ca^{-1}	$a_1a_2^{-1}$	a^{-1}
$W_4 = a_5a_1^{-1}$	d	$a_5^{-1}a_1$	d^{-1}	$a_5a_2^{-1}$	da^{-1}	$a_4a_2^{-1}$	ca^{-1}

Table 1: Voltages on fundamental cycles and images under α, β, β^2, γ, δ, ε

Clearly, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Dip}_5) = S_5 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, where S_5 fixes u and v. By the arc-transitivity of L, $|L|$ is divisible by 10. Let L^* be the subgroup of L fixing u and v. Then $|L : L^*| = 2$. Thus, $L^* \leq S_5$ and a Sylow 5-subgroup of L^* is also a Sylow 5-subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Dip}_5)$. Since Sylow 5-subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Dip}_5)$ are conjugate, we may assume $\alpha \in L$, that is, α lifts. Noting that $\alpha^\beta = \alpha^2$, we have that $L^* = \langle\alpha\rangle, \langle\alpha, \beta^2\rangle, \langle\alpha, \beta\rangle, A_5$ or S_5. In particular, if β^2 cannot lift then $L^* = \langle\alpha\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$; if β^2 lifts but β and δ cannot then $L^* = \langle\alpha, \beta^2\rangle \cong D_5$; if β lifts but δ cannot then $L^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$; if α, β and δ lift then $L^* = S_5$.

Consider the mapping $\bar{\alpha}$ from the set of voltages on the four fundamental cycles of Dip_5 to the elementary abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$, defined by $\zeta(W_i)^{\bar{\alpha}} = \zeta(W_i^\alpha)$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Similarly, we may define $\bar{\beta}$, $\bar{\beta}2$, $\bar{\gamma}$, $\bar{\delta}$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}$. By Table 1, $a^{\bar{\gamma}} = a^{-1}$, $b^{\bar{\gamma}} = b^{-1}$, $c^{\bar{\gamma}} = c^{-1}$, and $d^{\bar{\gamma}} = d^{-1}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ is abelian, $\bar{\gamma}$ can be extended to an automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$. By Proposition 4.1, γ lifts along $\mathcal{P}$. This implies that L lifts if and only if L^* lifts.

Since α lifts, by Proposition 4.1, $\bar{\alpha}$ can be extended to an automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$, denoted by α^*. Again by Table 1,

$$a^{\alpha^*} = ba^{-1}, b^{\alpha^*} = ca^{-1}, c^{\alpha^*} = da^{-1}, d^{\alpha^*} = a^{-1}. \tag{7}$$

Suppose $b = 1$. By Eq (7), $ca^{-1} = b^{\alpha^*} = 1$, that is, $c = a$. Thus, $d = b = 1$ because $c^{\alpha^*} = a^{\alpha^*}$, and hence $c^{-1} = a^{-1} = d^{\alpha^*} = 1$. It follows that $\mathbb{Z}_p^n = \langle a, b, c, d\rangle = 1$, a contradiction. Thus, $b \neq 1$. Similarly, $a \neq 1$, $c \neq 1$ and $d \neq 1$. Since $n \leq 4$, we have $N = \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, $\mathbb{Z}_p^3$ or $\mathbb{Z}_p^4$.

Suppose $d \in \langle a\rangle$. Then $d = a^k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. By Eq (7), $a^{-1} = b^k a^{-k}$, implying $b \in \langle a\rangle$. Similarly, $c \in \langle a\rangle$. It follows that $\mathbb{Z}_p^2 = \langle a, b, c, d\rangle = \langle a\rangle$, a contradiction. Thus, $d \notin \langle a\rangle$.

Case 1:. $N = \mathbb{Z}_p^2$.

Since $d \notin \langle a \rangle$, we have $\mathbb{Z}_p^2 = \langle a, d \rangle$. Let $b = a^i d^j$ and $c = a^\ell d^m$ for some $i, j, \ell, m \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. By Eq (7), $a^{i(i-1)-j} d^{ij} = (a^{i-1} d^j)^i a^{-j} = (ba^{-1})^i a^{-j} = (a^i d^j)^{\alpha^*} = b^{\alpha^*} = ca^{-1} = a^{\ell-1} d^m$ and $a^{(i-1)\ell - m} d^{j\ell} = (a^{i-1} d^j)^\ell a^{-m} = (ba^{-1})^\ell a^{-m} = (a^\ell d^m)^{\alpha^*} = c^{\alpha^*} = a^{-1} d$. Then the following equations hold in the field $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

$$i(i-1) - j = \ell - 1, \tag{8}$$
$$ij = m, \tag{9}$$
$$(i-1)\ell - m = -1, \tag{10}$$
$$j\ell = 1. \tag{11}$$

By Eqs (11) and (9), $\ell \neq 0$, $j = \ell^{-1}$ and $i = m\ell$. By Eq (10), $\ell(m\ell - 1) - m = -1$, that is, $(\ell - 1)(m\ell + m - 1) = 0$. Thus, $\ell - 1 = 0$ or $m\ell + m - 1 = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Assume $\ell = 1$. Then $j = \ell^{-1} = 1$ and $i = m\ell = m$. By Eq (8), $i(i-1) = 1$, which implies that $p \neq 2$ and $(2i-1)^2 = 5$. By elementary number theory, $p = 5k \pm 1$ or $p = 5$.

Let $p = 5k \pm 1$. Since $(2i-1)^2 = 5$, we have $i = 2^{-1}(1 + \lambda)$, where $\lambda^2 = 5$. It follows that $b = a^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)} d$ and $c = a d^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}$. Note that $2^{-1}(1+\lambda) - 1 = -2^{-1}(1-\lambda)$. By Table 1, $\bar{\alpha}$ can be extended to the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ induced by $a \mapsto a^{-2^{-1}(1-\lambda)} d$ and $d \mapsto a^{-1}$, and $\bar{\beta}^2$ can be extended to the automorphism induced by $a \mapsto a^{-2^{-1}(1-\lambda)} d^{2^{-1}(1-\lambda)}$ and $d \mapsto a^{-1} d^{2^{-1}(1-\lambda)}$. Furthermore, $\bar{\beta}$ and $\bar{\delta}$ cannot be extended to automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Thus, $L^* \cong D_5$ and $|G| = |N||L| = 20p^2$.

We claim that Γ is unique for any prime p such that $p = 5k \pm 1$. This is sufficient to show that Γ is independent of the choice of λ. Note that the equation $x^2 = 5$ has exactly two roots in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, that is, $\pm\lambda$. Since $(2i-1)^2 = 5$, we have $i = 2^{-1}(1 \pm \lambda)$. It follows that $b = a^{2^{-1}(1\pm\lambda)} d$ and $c = a d^{2^{-1}(1\pm\lambda)}$. Clearly, the voltage assignment ζ is determined by $\zeta(a_1)$, $\zeta(a_2)$, $\zeta(a_3)$, $\zeta(a_4)$ and $\zeta(a_5)$, and for convenience, write $\zeta = (\zeta(a_1), \zeta(a_2), \zeta(a_3), \zeta(a_4), \zeta(a_5))$. It follows that $\zeta = \zeta_1 = (1, a, a^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)} d, a d^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}, d)$ or $\zeta = \zeta_2 = (1, a, a^{2^{-1}(1-\lambda)} d, a d^{2^{-1}(1-\lambda)}, d)$. By Table 1, $\zeta_1(W_1) = a$, $\zeta_1(W_2) = a^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)} d$, $\zeta_1(W_3) = a d^{2^{-1}(1+\lambda)}$, $\zeta_1(W_4) = d$ and $\zeta_2(W_1^\beta) = d^{2^{-1}(1-\lambda)}$, $\zeta_2(W_2^\beta) = a^{-1}$, $\zeta_2(W_3^\beta) = a^{2^{-1}(1-\lambda)-1} d$, $\zeta_2(W_4^\beta) = d a^{-1}$. Let η be the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ induced by $a \mapsto d^{2^{-1}(1-\lambda)}$ and $d \mapsto d a^{-1}$. Then $(\zeta_1(W_i))^\eta = \zeta_2(W_i^\beta)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq 4$, and by Proposition 4.2, $\mathrm{Dip}_5 \times_{\zeta_1} \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \cong \mathrm{Dip}_5 \times_{\zeta_2} \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, as claimed.

Let $p = 5$. Then $(2i-1)^2 = 0$ implies $i = 3$ in $\mathbb{Z}_5$. Thus, $m = i = 3$. It follows that $b = a^3 d$ and $c = a d^3$. By Table 1, $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ can be extended to the automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ induced by $a \mapsto a^2 d$, $d \mapsto a^{-1}$, and $a \mapsto d^2$, $d \mapsto d a^{-1}$, respectively. But, $\bar{\delta}$ can not be extended to an automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Thus, $L^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $|G| = 40 \times 5^2$.

Assume $m\ell + m - 1 = 0$. Then $\ell + 1 \neq 0$ and $m = (\ell + 1)^{-1}$. Recall that $j = \ell^{-1}$ and $i = m\ell$. Then $i = \ell(\ell + 1)^{-1}$. By Eq (8), $\ell(\ell + 1)^{-1}(\ell(\ell + 1)^{-1} - 1) - \ell^{-1} = \ell - 1$, that is, $\ell^4 + \ell^3 + \ell^2 + \ell + 1 = 0$. Thus, $\ell^5 - 1 = (\ell - 1)(\ell^4 + \ell^3 + \ell^2 + \ell + 1) = 0$. For $p = 5$, we have $\ell = 1$ because $\ell^5 = 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_5^*$, which has been discussed in the previous paragraph. Let $p > 5$. Then ℓ is an element of order 5 in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and hence $5 \mid (p - 1)$. In this case, $(\ell + 1)^{-1} = -\ell^3 - \ell$ and $(\ell^2 + 1)^{-1} = -\ell^2 - \ell$. Note that $b = a^{\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}} d^{\ell^{-1}}$ and

$c = a^{\ell}d^{(\ell+1)^{-1}}$. By Table 1, $\bar{\alpha}$ can be extended to the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ induced by $a \mapsto a^{\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}-1}d^{\ell^{-1}}$ and $d \mapsto a^{-1}$, but $\bar{\beta}^2$ cannot. Thus, $L^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$ and $|G| = 10p^2$.

We now claim that Γ is unique for any prime p with $5 \mid (p-1)$. Clearly, $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ has exactly four elements of order 5, that is, ℓ^i for $1 \le i \le 4$. By the arbitrariness of ℓ, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Dip}_5 \times_{\zeta_1} \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \cong \mathrm{Dip}_5 \times_{\zeta_2} \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, where ζ_1 and ζ_2 are voltage assignments corresponding to ℓ and ℓ^2 respectively, that is, $\zeta_1 = (1, a, a^{\ell(\ell+1)^{-1}}d^{\ell^{-1}}, a^{\ell}d^{(\ell+1)^{-1}}, d)$ and $\zeta_2 = (1, a, a^{\ell^2(\ell^2+1)^{-1}}d^{\ell^{-2}}, a^{\ell^2}d^{(\ell^2+1)^{-1}}, d)$. Recall that $(\ell+1)^{-1} = -\ell^3 - \ell$ and $(\ell^2+1)^{-1} = -\ell^2 - \ell$. By Table 1, $\zeta_1(W_1) = a$, $\zeta_1(W_2) = a^{\ell^3+\ell+1}d^{\ell^4}$, $\zeta_1(W_3) = a^{\ell}d^{\ell^4+\ell^2+1}$, $\zeta_1(W_4) = d$, and $\zeta_2(W_1^{\varepsilon}) = a^{-1}d$, $\zeta_2(W_2^{\varepsilon}) = a^{\ell^2+\ell}d^{\ell^3}$, $\zeta_2(W_3^{\varepsilon}) = a^{-1}$, $\zeta_2(W_4^{\varepsilon}) = a^{\ell^2-1}d^{\ell^4+\ell^3+1}$. Let η be the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ induced by $a \mapsto a^{-1}d$ and $d \mapsto a^{\ell^2-1}d^{\ell^4+\ell^3+1}$. It is easy to check that $(\zeta_1(W_i))^{\eta} = \zeta_2(W_i^{\varepsilon})$ for each $1 \le i \le 4$, and by Proposition 4.2, $\mathrm{Dip}_5 \times_{\zeta_1} \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \cong \mathrm{Dip}_5 \times_{\zeta_2} \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, as claimed.

We have proved that for $p = 5$, the graph Γ is unique with $|N_A(N)| = 40 \times 5^2$; for $5 \mid (p-1)$, the graph Γ is unique with $|N_A(N)| = 10p^2$; for $5 \mid (p \pm 1)$, the graph Γ is unique with $|N_A(N)| = 20p^2$. By Theorem 3.1 (1) and (2), the uniqueness of Γ implies that $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$) or $\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$).

Let $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$). By MAGMA [6], $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}^1_{5^2})| = 2^5 \cdot 5^3$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}^1_{5^2})$ has a normal subgroup of order 5. By Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 2.1, $N_A(R(GD_{5^2})) = R(GD_{5^2}) \rtimes F_{20}$ and $A = (R(GD_{5^2}) \rtimes F_{20})\mathbb{Z}_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \cdot ((F_{20} \times F_{20}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$, where $(F_{20} \times F_{20}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ is a maximal solvable subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(K_{5,5}) \cong (S_5 \times S_5) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. For $5 \mid (p-1)$, we claim that $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2})| = 10p^2$. Again by MAGMA [6], $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2})| = 10p^2$ for $p = 11, 31, 41, 61, 71$. Assume $p \ge 91$. Since $|N_A(N)| = 10p^2$, it suffices to show $N \lhd A$. Suppose $N \not\lhd A$. Since $A = R(GD_{p^2})A_w$ for any $w \in V(\Gamma)$, N is a Sylow p-subgroup of A. By Sylow Theorem, the number of Sylow p-subgroups of A is $kp+1$ and $kp+1 = |A : N_A(N)|$. It follows that $kp+1 = |A_w|/5$ because $N_A(N) = R(GD_{p^2}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$ by Theorem 3.1. Since $\mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}$ has girth 6, Γ is at most 3-arc-transitive and by Proposition 2.1, $|A_w| \mid 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$. Thus, $kp+1$ is a divisor of $2^6 \cdot 3^2$. Since $p \ge 91$ and $5 \mid (p-1)$, we have $(k, p) = (1, 191)$ and $kp = 2^6 \cdot 3 - 1$. However, this is impossible by Proposition 2.1 because $|A_w| = 5(kp+1) = 2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$. Thus, $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2})| = 10p^2$ and $A = R(GD_{p^2}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$.

Let $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$). We claim $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2})| = 20p^2$. By MAGMA [6], this is true for $p = 11, 19, 29, 31, 41, 59, 61, 71$. Assume $p \ge 79$ and it suffices to show that $N \lhd A$ because $N_A(N) = R(GD_{p^2}) \rtimes D_5$. Suppose $N \not\lhd A$. As the previous paragraph, the number $kp+1$ of Sylow p-subgroups of A is a divisor of $|A_w|/10 = 2^5 \cdot 3^2$. However, no such a prime p exists for $p \ge 79$. Thus, $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2})| = 20p^2$ and $A = R(GD_{p^2}) \rtimes D_5$.

Case 2: $N = \mathbb{Z}_p^3$.

Recall that $d \notin \langle a \rangle$. Suppose $b \in \langle a, d \rangle$. Then $b = a^i d^j$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. By Eq (7), $ca^{-1} = b^{\alpha^*} = (b^i a^{-i})a^{-j}$, implying $c \in \langle a, d \rangle$. It follows that $\mathbb{Z}_p^3 = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle = \langle a, d \rangle$, a contraction. Thus, $b \notin \langle a, d \rangle$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p^3 = \langle a, d, b \rangle$. Let $c = a^i b^j d^k$ for some $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Again by Eq (7), $da^{-1} = c^{\alpha^*} = (a^i b^j d^k)^{\alpha^*} = (ba^{-1})^i (a^{i-1} b^j d^k)^j (a^{-1})^k = a^{ij-i-j-k} b^{i+j^2} d^{kj}$, and the following equations hold in the field $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

$$ij - i - j - k = -1, \tag{12}$$
$$i + j^2 = 0, \tag{13}$$
$$kj = 1. \tag{14}$$

By Eqs (13) and (14), $i = -j^2$ and $k = j^{-1}$. By Eq (12), $-j^3 + j^2 - j - j^{-1} + 1 = 0$, that is, $j^4 - j^3 + j^2 - j + 1 = 0$. Let $\ell = -j$. Then $\ell^4 + \ell^3 + \ell^2 + \ell + 1 = 0$ and $\ell^5 - 1 = (\ell - 1)(\ell^4 + \ell^3 + \ell^2 + \ell + 1) = 0$. It follows that either $p = 5$ with $\ell = 1$, or $5 \mid (p-1)$ with ℓ an element of order 5 in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$.

Let $p = 5$. Then $c = a^4b^4d^4$. By Table 1, $\bar{\alpha}$ can be extended to the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p^3$ induced by $a \mapsto a^4b$, $b \mapsto a^3b^4d^4$, and $d \mapsto a^4$. Thus, Γ is symmetric.

Let $5 \mid (p-1)$ with ℓ an element of order 5 in $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Then $c = a^{-\ell^2}b^{-\ell}d^{-\ell^4}$. By Table 1, $\bar{\alpha}$ can be extended to the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p^3$ induced by $a \mapsto a^{-1}b$, $b \mapsto a^{-\ell^2-1}b^{-\ell}d^{-\ell^4}$, and $d \mapsto a^{-1}$. Thus, Γ is symmetric. Further, $\bar{\beta}^2$ cannot be extended to an automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p^3$. Thus, $L^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$ and $|G| = 10p^3$. We now claim that Γ is unique. Since $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ has exactly four elements of order 5, that is, ℓ^i for each $1 \le i \le 4$, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Dip}_5 \times_{\zeta_1} \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \cong \mathrm{Dip}_5 \times_{\zeta_2} \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, where ζ_1 and ζ_2 are voltage assignments corresponding to ℓ and ℓ^2 respectively, that is, $\zeta_1 = (1, a, b, a^{-\ell^2}b^{-\ell}d^{-\ell^4}, d)$ and $\zeta_2 = (1, a, b, a^{-\ell^4}b^{-\ell^2}d^{-\ell^3}, d)$. By Table 1, $\zeta_1(W_1) = a$, $\zeta_1(W_2) = b$, $\zeta_1(W_3) = a^{-\ell^2}b^{-\ell}d^{-\ell^4}$, $\zeta_1(W_4) = d$, and $\zeta_2(W_1^\varepsilon) = a^{-1}d$, $\zeta_2(W_2^\varepsilon) = a^{-1}b$, $\zeta_2(W_3^\varepsilon) = a^{-1}$, $\zeta_2(W_4^\varepsilon) = a^{-1-\ell^4}b^{-\ell^2}d^{-\ell^3}$. Let η be the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ induced by $a \mapsto a^{-1}d$, $b \mapsto a^{-1}b, d \mapsto a^{-1-\ell^4}b^{-\ell^2}d^{-\ell^2}$. Then $(\zeta_1(W_i))^\eta = \zeta_2(W_i^\varepsilon)$ for each $1 \le i \le 4$, and by Proposition 4.2, $\mathrm{Dip}_5 \times_{\zeta_1} \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \cong \mathrm{Dip}_5 \times_{\zeta_2} \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, as claimed.

We have proved that $p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$. In each case, the graph Γ is unique and for the latter, $|N_A(N)| = 10p^3$. Then Theorem 3.1 (3) implies that $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}$. By MAGMA [6], $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}_{5^3})| = 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^4$ and $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}_{11^3})| = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^3$, and again by Theorem 3.1 (3), $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}_{5^3}) = R(GD_{5^3}) \rtimes S_5$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}_{11^3}) = R(GD_{11^3}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$. Assume $p \ge 31$. We claim that $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}_{p^3})| = 10p^3$. It suffices to show $N \lhd A$ because $|N_A(N)| = 10p^3$. Suppose to the contrary that $N \not\lhd A$. Since $A = R(GD_{p^3})A_w$ for any $w \in V(\Gamma)$, N is a Sylow p-subgroup of A. By Sylow Theorem, the number of Sylow p-subgroups in A is $kp+1$ and $kp + 1 = |A : N_A(N)|$. Since $N_A(N) = R(GD_{p^3}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$, we have $kp + 1 = |A_w|/5$, and since $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}$ has girth 6, it is at most 3-arc-transitive. By Proposition 2.1, $|A_w| \mid 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$ and hence $kp+1$ is a divisor of $2^6 \cdot 3^2$. Since $p \ge 31$ and $5 \mid (p-1)$, we have $(k, p) = (1, 31)$ and $kp = 2^5 - 1$, $(k, p) = (1, 191)$ and $kp = 2^6 \cdot 3 - 1$, $(k, p) = (1, 71)$ and $kp = 2^3 \cdot 3^2 - 1$, or $(k, p) = (7, 41)$ and $kp = 2^5 \cdot 3^2 - 1$. It follows that $|A_w| = 2^5 \cdot 5$, $2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$, $2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$ or $2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$. Since Γ is not 4-arc-transitive, by Proposition 2.1 only the last case can happen and in this case, $p = 41$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{CGD}_{41^3}$ is 3-arc-transitive. However, it is easy to check by MAGMA [6] that there is no 6-cycle in $\mathcal{CGD}_{41^3}$ passing through the 3-arc $(1, h, ah \cdot h, h \cdot ah \cdot h) = (1, h, a, a^{-1}h)$ (one may take $\ell = 10$ because $10^5 = 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{41}$), which is impossible because of the 3-arc-transitivity of Γ. Thus, $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}_{p^3})| = 10p^3$ for $5 \mid (p-1)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}) = R(GD_{p^3}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$.

Case 3: $N = \mathbb{Z}_p^4$.

Let $N = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle \times \langle d \rangle$. By the connectedness of Γ, we may let $\zeta(a_1) = 1$,

$\zeta(a_2) = a$, $\zeta(a_3) = b$, $\zeta(a_4) = c$, and $\zeta(a_5) = d$. By Table 1, it is easy to see that $\bar{\alpha}$, $\bar{\beta}$ and $\bar{\delta}$ can be extended to automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_p^4$. Thus, $L^* = S_5$ and $|G| = 240p^4$. By Theorem 3.1, $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$.

For $p = 2, 3, 5$ or 7, by MAGMA [6] we have $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4})| = 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot p^4$. This is also true for any prime $p \geq 11$. To prove it, we only need to show that $N \lhd A$ because $|G| = |N_A(N)| = 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot p^4$. Suppose to the contrary that $N \not\lhd A$. Note that N is a Sylow p-subgroup because $A = R(GD_{p^2})A_w$ for any $w \in V(\Gamma)$. By Sylow Theorem, the number of Sylow p-subgroups in A is $kp + 1$ and $kp + 1 = |A : N_A(N)|$. Since $N_A(N) = R(GD_{p^2}) \rtimes S_5$, we have $kp+1 = |A_w|/120$, and since $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}$ has girth 6, it is at most 3-arc-transitive. By Proposition 2.1, $|A_w| \mid 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$ and hence $kp+1$ is a divisor of $2^3 \cdot 3$. Since $p \geq 11$, we have $(k, p) = (1, 11)$ and $kp = 2^2 \cdot 3 - 1$, or $(k, p) = (1, 23)$ and $kp = 2^3 \cdot 3 - 1$. It follows that $|A_w| = 2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$ or $2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$, and by Proposition 2.1, Γ is 3-arc-transitive. Note that $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{CGD}_{11^4}$ or $\mathcal{CGD}_{23^4}$. It is easy to check that there is no 6-cycle in $\mathcal{CGD}_{11^4}$ and $\mathcal{CGD}_{23^4}$ passing through the 3-arc $(1, h, ah \cdot h, h \cdot ah \cdot h) = (1, h, a, a^{-1}h)$, which contradicts the 3-arc-transitivity of Γ. Thus, $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4})| = 240p^4$ for each prime p, and by Theorem 3.1 (4), $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}) = R(GD_{p^4}) \rtimes S_5$. □

5 Basic graphs

In this section we investigate pentavalent symmetric graphs of order $2p^n$ and basic ones of such graphs are determined. We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1 *Let p be a prime and let Γ be a connected pentavalent symmetric graph of order $2p^n$ with $n \geq 2$. Let G be an arc-transitive subgroup of* $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$*. Then every minimal normal subgroup of G is an elementary abelian p-group.*

Proof. Let $u \in V(\Gamma)$. By Proposition 2.1, $|G_u| \mid 2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$, and hence $|G| \mid 2^{10} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot p^n$. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G. Then $N \cong T^m$ for a finite simple group T.

Suppose that N is nonabelian. If N has more than two orbits, by Proposition 2.7, $|N| \mid 2p^n$ and hence N is solvable, a contradiction. Thus, N has one or two orbits on $V(\Gamma)$, which implies that $p^n \mid |N|$. Since $|G_u| \mid 2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$ and $|V(\Gamma)| = 2p^n$, we have $|G| = 2^i \cdot 3^j \cdot 5 \cdot p^n$ with $1 \leq i \leq 10$ and $0 \leq j \leq 2$. Thus, $\pi(N) = 4$ or 3, where $\pi(N)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of $|N|$.

If $\pi(N) = 4$ then $p \geq 7$ and since $5^2 \nmid |G|$, $N = T$ is a simple $\{2, 3, 5, p\}$-group such that $2^{11} \nmid |N|$, $3^3 \nmid |N|$. Suppose that $p^2 \mid |N|$. By Proposition 2.3, we have $5^2 \mid |N|$ and hence $5^2 \mid |G|$, a contradiction. Thus, $p^2 \nmid |N|$, and since $p^n \mid |N|$, we have $n = 1$, contrary to the hypothesis. It follows that $\pi(N) = 3$. Since Γ has the prime valency 5, G_u is primitive on $\Gamma_1(u)$, the neighborhood of u in Γ, and since $N_u \lhd G_u$, either $N_u = 1$ or $5 \mid |N_u|$. If $N_u = 1$ then N is semiregular on $V(\Gamma)$. Thus, $|N| \mid 2p^n$ and hence N is solvable, a contradiction. Thus, $5 \mid |N_u|$, and by Proposition 2.3, N is a $\{2, 3, 5\}$-group. Furthermore, $p = 5, 2$ or 3, and $T \cong A_5, A_6$ or $\mathrm{PSU}(4, 2)$.

For $p = 5$, we have $N = T$ or T^2 as $3^3 \nmid |G|$. It follows that $5^3 \nmid |N|$, and since N has at most two orbits and $5 \mid |N_u|$, we have $n = 1$, contrary to the hypothesis.

For $p = 2$ or 3, we have $N = T$ as $5^2 \nmid |G|$. If $p = 2$ then $N = A_5$ or A_6 as $3^3 \nmid |G|$, which implies that $|\Gamma| = 8$ or 16 because $2^n \mid |N|$. By McKay [35], there is no pentavalent symmetric graph of order 8 and there is a unique pentavalent symmetric graph of order 16 that is the graph FQ_4, but this is impossible because $\mathrm{Aut}(FQ_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rtimes S_5$ has no normal subgroup A_5 or A_6. Thus, $p = 3$. Since $|\Gamma| = 2 \cdot 3^n \geq 18$ and since there is no pentavalent symmetric graph of order 18 by McKay [35], we have $N = \mathrm{PSU}(4, 2)$, and since $|\mathrm{PSU}(4, 2)| = 2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5$, we have $|\Gamma| = 2 \cdot 3^3$ or $2 \cdot 3^4$. If N is transitive on $V(\Gamma)$ then $|N_u| = 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ or $2^5 \cdot 5$, which is impossible by Proposition 2.1. Therefore, N has two orbits and $|N_u| = 2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ or $2^6 \cdot 5$. Clearly, Γ is bipartite and G has a 2-element, say g, interchanging the two bipartition sets of Γ. It follows that $H = N\langle g \rangle$ is arc-transitive and $|H_u| = 2^{i+6} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$, or $2^{i+6} \cdot 5$, $i \geq 0$. Again by Proposition 2.1, $H_u \cong \mathrm{ASL}(2, 4)$ or $\mathrm{A\Sigma L}(2, 4)$, and since $N_u \lhd H_u$, we have $N_u \cong \mathrm{ASL}(2, 4)$. However, $\mathrm{PSU}(4, 2)$ has no subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{ASL}(2, 4)$ by MAGMA [6], a contradiction.

Now N is abelian and hence elementary abelian. Since $|V(\Gamma)| = 2p^n$, N is a 2-group or a p-group. If $p \neq 2$ and N is a 2-group then the quotient graph Γ_N has odd order and valency 5, a contradiction. Thus, N is a p-group. This completes the proof. □

The *hypercube* Q_n is the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\})$, where $\mathbb{Z}_2^n = \langle a_1 \rangle \times \langle a_2 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a_n \rangle$, and it is well-known that $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_n) = R(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) \rtimes S_n$. The *folded hypercube* FQ_n ($n \geq 2$) is the Cayley graph $FQ_n = \mathrm{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, S)$, where $S = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n, a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n\}$. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, S)$ is transitive on S and any permutation on $\{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$ induces an automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, which fixes S setwise. This implies that $S_{n+1} \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_2^n, S)$. For $n \geq 4$, FQ_n has a unique 4-cycle passing through 1 and any two elements in S, and hence $\mathrm{Aut}(FQ_n) = R(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) \rtimes S_{n+1}$.

Theorem 5.2 *Let p be a prime and let Γ be a connected pentavalent symmetric graph of order $2p^n$ with $n \geq 1$. Then Γ is a normal cover of one of the following graphs: K_6, FQ_4, $\mathcal{CD}_p$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), or $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$.*

Proof. Let $A = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and let M be a maximal normal subgroup of A which has more than two orbits on $V(\Gamma)$. By Proposition 2.7, Γ is a normal cover of the quotient graph Γ_M, which has valency 5 and is A/M-arc-transitive. Clearly, $|V(\Gamma_M)| = 2p^m$ for a positive integer m. We aim to show that $\Gamma_M \cong K_6$, FQ_4, $\mathcal{CD}_p$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), or $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of A/M. If $m = 1$ then, by Proposition 2.5, $\Gamma_M \cong K_6$, or $\mathcal{CD}_p$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$). In what follows we assume that $m \geq 2$. By Lemma 5.1, N is an elementary abelian p-group, and by the maximality of M, N has one or two orbits on $V(\Gamma_M)$.

First assume that N has one orbit, that is, N is transitive on $V(\Gamma_M)$. Then N acts regularly on $V(\Gamma_M)$ and $|N| = |V(\Gamma_M)|$. It follows that N is an elementary abelian 2-group and $\Gamma_M = \mathrm{Cay}(N, S)$. Since Γ_M has valency 5, the connectedness of Γ_M implies that $|N| \leq 32$. Clearly, if $|N| = 32$ then $\Gamma_M \cong Q_5 = \mathcal{CGD}_{2^4}$. By McKay [35], there is no pentavalent symmetric graph of order 8 and there is a unique pentavalent symmetric graph of order 16. Thus, if $|N| = 16$ then $\Gamma_M \cong FQ_4$.

Now assume that N has two orbits on $V(\Gamma_M)$. Then Γ_M is a bipartite graph with the two orbits of N as its bipartite sets. Let $u \in V(\Gamma_M)$. If $N_u \neq 1$ then $\Gamma_M \cong K_{5,5}$, contrary to the assumption $m \geq 2$. Thus, N is regular on each bipartite set of Γ_M and hence Γ_M is a symmetric N-cover of the dipole Dip_5. By Theorem 4.3, $\Gamma_M \cong \mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), or $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$. □

Theorem 5.3 *Let p be a prime and n a positive integer. The basic graphs of connected pentavalent symmetric graph of order $2p^n$ are K_6, FQ_4, $\mathcal{CGD}_{5^3}$, $\mathcal{CD}_p$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$) or $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$ ($p = 3$ or $p \geq 7$).*

Proof. Let $\Gamma = K_6$, FQ_4, $\mathcal{CGD}_{5^3}$, $\mathcal{CD}_p$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$) or $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$ ($p = 3$ or $p \geq 7$). Let $A = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and N a nontrivial normal subgroup of A.

The graphs K_6, FQ_4 and $\mathcal{CGD}_{5^3}$ are basic because N has one or two orbits by MAGMA [6]. Since there is no pentavalent graph of odd order, by Proposition 2.7, N is transitive on $V(\Gamma)$ or each orbit of N on $V(\Gamma)$ has odd length. In particular, $\mathcal{CD}_p$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$) are basic.

Let $\Gamma = \mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$). It suffices to show that $|N| \neq p$. By MAGMA [6], $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}^2_{11^2})$ has no normal subgroups of order 11, and we may assume that $p > 11$. Suppose $|N| = p$. By Theorem 4.3, $A = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}) = R(GD_{p^2}) \rtimes D_5$, and hence A/N has stabilizer D_5 on $V(\Gamma_N)$, which is impossible for $p > 11$ by Proposition 2.5. It follows that $\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$) are basic. Finally let $\Gamma = \mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$ ($p = 3$ or $p \geq 7$). Similarly, $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ has no normal subgroups of order p, p^2 or p^3 (for $p = 11$ by MAGMA [6] and for $p = 3, 7$ or $p \geq 13$ by Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 2.5), and hence $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$ ($p = 3$ or $p \geq 7$) are basic.

On the other hand, let $\Gamma = \mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}$ ($5 \mid (p-1)$) or $\mathcal{CGD}_{p^4}$ ($p = 2$ or 5) and let $A = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$. To finish the proof, by Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 2.7, it suffices to show that A has a nontrivial normal subgroup having more than two orbits. This is true for $\Gamma = \mathcal{CGD}^1_{5^2}, \mathcal{CGD}_{2^4}$ or $\mathcal{CGD}_{5^4}$ by MAGMA [6], and moreover, Γ is a normal cover of $\mathcal{CD}_5$, FQ_4 or $\mathcal{CGD}_{5^3}$, respectively. Let $\Gamma = \mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p-1)$). Then $A = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}) = R(GD_{p^2}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$ and $A_u \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$ with $u \in V(\Gamma)$. The group $R(GD_{p^2})$ contains a characteristic subgroup of order p^2, say $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$, and each subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ is normal in $R(GD_{p^2})$. The number of subgroups of order p in $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ is $p+1$, and since $5 \nmid (p+1)$, at least one of the subgroups of order p in $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ is fixed by A_u. It follows that A has a normal subgroup of order p and hence Γ is a normal cover of $\mathcal{CD}_p$ ($5 \mid (p-1)$). Let $\Gamma = \mathcal{CGD}_{p^3}$ ($5 \mid (p-1)$). Then $R(GD_{p^3})$ has a characteristic subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_p^3$, which has p^2+p+1 subgroups of order p^2. Since $5 \nmid (p^2+p+1)$, A has a normal subgroup of order p^2 and Γ is a normal cover of $\mathcal{CD}_p$ ($5 \mid (p-1)$). This completes the proof. □

6 Pentavalent Symmetric graphs of order $2p^2$

As an application of the results obtained in former sections, we classify pentavalent symmetric graphs of order $2p^2$.

Theorem 6.1 *Let p be a prime and Γ a connected pentavalent symmetric graph of order $2p^2$. Then $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$), $\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$) or $\mathcal{CD}_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p-1)$).*

Proof. Let $A = \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and $u \in V(\Gamma)$. By McKay [35], there is no pentavalent symmetric graph of order 8 or 18. Thus, $p \geq 5$. By Proposition 2.1, $|A_u| \mid 2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$ and hence $|A| = 2^i \cdot 3^j \cdot 5 \cdot p^2$, $1 \leq i \leq 10$, $0 \leq j \leq 2$.

First we have an observation.

Observation: If $p = 5$ then A has a non-abelian Sylow 5-group.

Suppose that Q is an abelian Sylow 5-subgroup of A. Since $|V(\Gamma)| = 2 \cdot 5^2$, we have $|Q| = 5^3$ and $|Q_u| = 5$. Thus, Q has two orbits, say B_1 and B_2 with $u \in B_1$. Since Q is abelian, Q_u fixes every vertex in B_1 and all orbits of Q_u in B_2 have length 5. Thus, Γ contains a subgroup $K_{5,5}$, and by its connectedness, $\Gamma \cong K_{5,5}$, which is contrary to $|\Gamma| = 2p^2$. The observation follows.

Let H be a non-trivial normal abelian p-group of an arc-transitive subgroup B of A. Then all orbits of H have length p or p^2 because $|\Gamma| = 2p^2$. Suppose $H_u \neq 1$. Since $H_u \lhd B_u$, we have $5 \mid |H_u|$ and $p = 5$. By Proposition 2.7, H has two orbits on $V(\Gamma)$, implying that $5^2 \mid |H|$. Consequently, $5^3 \mid |H|$ and H is an abelian Sylow 5-subgroup of A, which is impossible by Observation. Thus, H is semiregular on $V(\Gamma)$, forcing that $|H| = p$ or p^2. This implies that $H = \mathbb{Z}_p$, $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$. If $H = \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ then, by Theorem 4.3, $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{CGD}^1_{p^2}$ ($p = 5$ or $5 \mid (p-1)$) or $\mathcal{CGD}^2_{p^2}$ ($5 \mid (p \pm 1)$), which are the first two families of graphs in the theorem. Thus, we may have the following assumption.

Assumption: Each non-trivial normal abelian p-group of each arc-transitive subgroup of A is semiregular on $V(\Gamma)$ and isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of A. By Lemma 5.1 and Assumption, $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. Now we prove the following claim.

Claim: *A has a semiregular subgroup L of order p^2 such that $N \leq L$ and Γ is $N_A(L)$-arc-transitive.*

By Proposition 2.7, the quotient graph Γ_N has order $2p$ and is A/N-arc-transitive. By Proposition 2.5, $\Gamma_N \cong \mathcal{CD}_5$ ($\cong K_{5,5}$) with $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CD}_5) \cong (S_5 \times S_5) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\Gamma_N \cong \mathcal{CD}_{11}$ with $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CD}_{11}) \cong \mathrm{PGL}(2,11)$, or $\Gamma_N \cong \mathcal{CD}_p$ ($p > 11$ and $5 \mid (p-1)$) with $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CD}_p) \cong D_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$. Since A/N is arc-transitive, in each case A/N contains a semiregular subgroup of order p, say L/N. Thus, $10p \mid |A/N|$, $N \leq L$ and L is a semiregular subgroup of order p^2 in A.

For $p > 11$ with $5 \mid (p-1)$, we have $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CD}_p) \cong D_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$. Since $10p \mid |A/N|$, we have $A/N = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CD}_p)$ and hence L is the unique normal Sylow p-subgroup of A. Thus, $N_A(L) = A$ and Γ is $N_A(L)$-arc-transitive. For $p = 11$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CD}_{11}) = \mathrm{PGL}(2,11)$ and L/N is a Sylow 11-subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CD}_{11})$. By ATLAS [5], $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{CD}_{11})$ has a maximal subgroup $M/N \cong \mathbb{Z}_{11} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ such that $L/N \leq M/N$. Thus, $L \lhd M$. Since $M/N \not\leq \mathrm{PSL}(2,11) \leq \mathrm{PGL}(2,11)$, M/N is vertex-transitive and hence arc-transitive on Γ_N. It follows that M is arc-transitive on Γ and Γ is $N_A(L)$-arc-transitive. For $p = 5$, Γ is a bipartite graph of order 5^2 with the orbits of L as its bipartite sets. By Lemma 2.8, $N_A(L)$ is vertex-transitive. Since Sylow 5-subgroups of A have order 5^3 and $|L| = p^2$, $N_A(L)$ contains a Sylow 5-subgroup of A, and hence Γ is $N_A(L)$-arc-transitive.

Now we are ready to finish the proof. Set $B = N_A(L)$. By Claim, Γ is B-arc-transitive. Since $L \lhd B$ and L has two orbits, Γ is bipartite with its bipartite sets as the orbits of L, say B_1 and B_2 with $u \in B_1$. By Assumption, $L \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$. Note that L is a Sylow p-subgroup of $C_B(L)$ for $p > 5$ because $p^3 \nmid |A|$, where $C_B(L)$ is the centralizer of L in B. This is also true for $p = 5$ by Observation. Thus, L is a normal Sylow p-subgroup of $C_B(L)$ and hence $C_B(L) = L \times K$, where K is a Hall p'-subgroup of $C_B(L)$. In particular, K is characteristic in $C_B(L)$. If $K \neq 1$, then $K \trianglelefteq B$ because $C_B(L) \trianglelefteq B$, and since $p^2 \nmid |K|$, K has more than two orbits on $V(\Gamma)$. By Proposition 2.7, K is semiregular and hence $|K| = 2$. But the quotient graph Γ_K would have odd order p^2 and valency 5, a contradiction. Thus, $K = 1$ and $C_B(L) = L$. Since $B/L = B/C_B(L) \lesssim \mathrm{Aut}(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p(p-1)}$, B has a unique normal subgroup of order $2p^2$ containing L, say R. By Lemma 2.8, B contains a regular dihedral group of order $2p^2$ containing L. By the uniqueness of R, we have $R \cong D_{p^2}$ and hence Γ is a Cayley graph on R. Since $R \lhd B$, Proposition 2.6 implies $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{CD}_{p^2}$ $(5 \mid (p-1))$. □

Acknowledgement: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11571035, 11231008, 11271012) and by the 111 Project of China (B16002).

References

[1] C. Amarra, M. Giudici and C.E. Praeger, Symmetric diameter two graphs with affine-type vertex-quasiprimitive automorphism group, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 68 (2013) 127-139.

[2] R.W. Baddeley, Two-arc transitive graphs and twisted wreath products, J. Algebraic Combin. 2 (1993) 215-237.

[3] C.Y. Chao, On classification of symmetric graphs with a prime number vertices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 158 (1971) 247-256.

[4] Y. Cheng and J. Oxley, On weakly symmetric graphs of order twice a prime, J. Combin. Theory B 42 (1987) 196-211.

[5] J.H. Conway, R.T. Durties, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson, An Atlas of Finite Groups, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.

[6] W. Bosma, C. Cannon and C. Playoust, The MAGMA algebra system I: The user language, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997) 235-265.

[7] A. Devillers, M. Giudici, C.H. Li and C.E. Praeger, An infinite family of biquasiprimitive 2-arc transitive cubic graphs, J. Algebraic Combin. 35 (2012) 173-192.

[8] S.F. Du, J.H. Kwak and M.Y. Xu, 2-Arc-transitive regular covers of complete graphs having the covering transformation group $\mathbb{Z}_p^3$, J. Combin. Theory B 93 (2005) 73-93.

[9] S.F. Du, J.H. Kwak and M.Y. Xu, Lifting of automorphisms on the elementary abelian regular coverings, Lin. Alg. Appl. 373 (2003) 101-119.

[10] S.F. Du, D. Marušič and A.O. Waller, On 2-arc-transitive covers of complete graphs, J. Combin. Theory B 74 (1998) 376-390.

[11] X.G. Fang, C.H. Li and J.Wang, Finite vertex primitive 2-arc regular graphs, J. Algebraic Combin. 25 (2007) 125-140.

[12] X.G. Fang and C.E. Praeger, Finite two-arc-transitive graphs admitting a Suzuki simple group, Comm. Algebra 27 (1999) 3727-3754.

[13] X.G. Fang and C.E. Praeger, Finite two-arc-transitive graphs admitting a Ree simple group, Comm. Algebra 27 (1999) 3755-3769.

[14] Y.-Q. Feng and J.H. Kwak, s-Regular cyclic coverings of the complete bipartite graph $K_{3,3}$, J. Graph Theory 45 (2004) 101-112.

[15] Y.-Q. Feng and J.H. Kwak, Classifying cubic symmetric graphs of order $10p$ or $10p^2$, Sci. in China A 49 (2006) 300-319.

[16] Y.-Q. Feng and J.H. Kwak, Cubic symmetric graphs of order a small number times a prime or a prime square, J. Combin. Theory B 97 (2007) 627-646.

[17] Y.-Q. Feng and J.H. Kwak, Cubic symmetric graphs of order twice an odd prime-power, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 81 (2006) 153-164.

[18] Y.-Q. Feng, J.H. Kwak and K.S. Wang, Classifying cubic symmetric graphs of order $8p$ or $8p^2$, European J. Combin. 26 (2005) 1033-1052.

[19] Y.-Q. Feng and K.S. Wang, s-Regular cubic graphs as coverings of the three dimensional hypercube Q_3, European J. Combin. 24 (2003) 719-731.

[20] Y.-Q. Feng and Y.-T. Li, One-regular graphs of square-free order of prime valency, European J. Combin. 32 (2011) 265-275.

[21] C.D. Godsil, On the full automorphism group of a graph, Combinatorica 1 (1981) 243-256.

[22] J.L. Gross and T.W. Tucker, Topological Graph Theory, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1987.

[23] S.-T. Guo and Y.-Q. Feng, A note on pentavalent s-transitive graphs, Discrete Math. 312 (2012) 2214-2216.

[24] M. Herzog, On finite simple groups of order divisible by three prime only, J. Algebra 10 (1968) 383-388.

[25] B. Huppert, Eudiche Gruppen I, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1967.

[26] B. Huppert and W. Lempken, Simple groups of order divisible by at most four primes, Proc. of the F. Scorina Gemel State University 16 (2000) 64-75.

[27] A.A. Ivanov and C.E. Praeger, On finite affine 2-arc transitive graphs, European J. Combin. 14 (1993) 421-444.

[28] J.H. Kwak, Y.S. Kwon and J.M. Oh, Infinitely many one-regular Cayley graphs on dihedral groups of any prescribed valency, J. Combin. Theory B 98 (2008) 585-598.

[29] C.H. Li, The finite vertex-primitive and vertex-biprimitive s-transitive graphs for $s \geq 4$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001) 3511-3529.

[30] C.H. Li, Finite s-arc transitive graphs of prime-power order, Bull. London Math. Soc. 33 (2001) 129-137.

[31] C.H. Li, On finite s-transitive graphs of odd order, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 81 (2001) 307-317.

[32] C.H. Li, Z.P. Lu and D. Marušič, On primitive permutation groups with small suborbits and their orbital graphs, J. Algebra 279 (2004) 749-770.

[33] C.H. Li and J. Pan, Finite 2-arc-transitive abelian Cayley graphs, European J. Combin. 29 (2008) 148-158.

[34] P. Lorimer, Vertex-transitive graphs: Symmetric graphs of prime valency, J. Graph Theory 8 (1984) 55-68.

[35] B.D. McKay, Transitive graphs with fewer than twenty vertices, Math. Comput. 33 (1979) 1101-1121.

[36] A. Malnič, Group actions, coverings and lifts of automorphisms, Discrete Math. 182 (1998) 203-218.

[37] A. Malnič, D. Marušič and P. Potočnik, On cubic graphs admitting an edge-transitive solvable group, J. Algebraic Combin. 20 (2004) 99-113.

[38] A. Malnič, Marušič and P. Potočnik, Elementary abelian covers of graphs, J. Algebraic Combin. 20 (2004) 71-97.

[39] A. Malnič, R. Nedela and M. Škoviera, Lifting graph automorphisms by voltage assignments, European J. Combin. 21 (2000) 927-947.

[40] A. Malnič and P. Potočnik, Invariant subspaces, duality, and covers of Petersen graph, European J. Combin. 27 (2006) 971-989.

[41] D. Marušič and T. Pisanski, Symmetries of hexagonal graphs on the torus, Croatica Chemica Acta 73 (2000) 969-981.

[42] J.M. Oh, A classification of cubic s-regular graphs of order $14p$, Discrete Math. 309 (2009) 2721-2726.

[43] J.M. Oh, A classification of cubic s-regular graphs of order $16p$, Discrete Math. 309 (2009) 3150-3155.

[44] J.M. Oh, Arc-transitive elementary abelian covers of the Pappus graph, Discrete Math. 309 (2009) 6590-6611.

[45] C.E. Praeger, On a reduction theorem for finite, bipartite, 2-arc-transitive graphs, Australas. J. Combin. 7 (1993) 21C36.

[46] C.E. Praeger, Finite vertex transitive graphs and primitive permutation groups, in: Coding Theory, Design Theory, Group Theory, Burlington, VT, 1990, Wiley-Interscience Publ., Wiley, New York, 1993, pp. 51-65.

[47] C.E. Praeger, An O'Nan-Scott theorem for finite quasiprimitive permutation groups and an application to 2-arc transitive graphs, J. London Math. Soc. 47 (1993) 227-239.

[48] C.E. Praeger, Finite transitive permutation groups and finite vertex-transitive graphs, in: Graph Symmetry: Algebraic Methods and Applications, in: NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C, 497 (1997) 277-318.

[49] C.E. Praeger, Imprimitive symmetric graphs, Ars Combin. A 19 (1985) 149-163.

[50] C.E. Praeger, R.J. Wang and M.Y. Xu, Symmetric graphs of order a product of two distinct primes, J. Combin. Theory B 58 (1993) 299-318.

[51] C.E. Praeger and M.Y. Xu, Vertex-primitive graphs of order a product of two distinct primes, J. Combin. Theory B 59 (1993) 245-216.

[52] W.J. Shi, On simple K_4-groups, Chinese Science Bulletin 36 (1991) 1281-1283.

[53] R.J. Wang and M.Y. Xu, A classification of symmetric graphs of order $3p$, J. Combin. Theory B 58 (1993) 197-216.

[54] M.Y. Xu, Automorphism groups and isomorphisms of Cayley digraphs, Discrete Math. 182 (1998) 309-319.

[55] J.-X. Zhou, Tetravalent vertex-transitive graphs of order $4p$, J. Graph Theory, 71 (2012) 402-415.

[56] J.-X. Zhou and Y.-Q. Feng, Tetravalent s-transitive graphs of order twice a prime power, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 88 (2010) 277-288.